Biological Fe oxidation controlled deposition of banded iron formation in the ca. 3770 Ma Isua Supracrustal Belt (West Greenland)
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Abstract

The redox balance of the Archean atmosphere–ocean system is among the most significant uncertainties in our understanding of the earliest history of Earth’s surface zone. Most workers agree that oxygen did not constitute a significant proportion of the atmosphere until after ca. 2.45 Ga, after the Great Oxidation Event, but there is less agreement on when O₂ production began, and how this may have been consumed by reduced species such as Fe(II) in the oceans. The Fe redox cycle through time has been traced using banded iron formations (BIFs), and Fe isotopes are increasingly used to constrain the conditions of Earth’s paleoenvironments, including the pathways of formation of BIFs. Iron isotope analyses of BIFs from the 3.7 to 3.8 Ga Isua Supracrustal Belt (ISB), obtained by micro-sampling of magnetite-rich layers and conventional analysis, as well as by in situ femtosecond laser ablation (fs-LA-ICP-MS), indicate a consistently narrow range of non-zero Δ⁵⁶Fe values. Analysis of magnetite by fs-LA-ICP-MS allows for precise and accurate micron-scale analyses without the problems of orientation effects that are associated with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analyses. Magnetite Δ⁵⁶Fe values range from +0.4‰ to +1.1‰ among different bands, but within individual layers magnetite grains are mostly homogeneous. Although these BIFs have been metamorphosed to amphibolite-facies, the metamorphism can neither explain the range in Fe isotope compositions across bands, nor that between hand samples. The isotopic compositions therefore reflect “primary”, low-temperature sedimentary values. The positive Δ⁵⁶Fe values measured from the ISB magnetites are best explained by deposition of Fe(III)-oxides produced by partial oxidation of Fe(II)-rich ocean water. A dispersion/reaction model, which accounts for rates of hydrothermal Fe(II)aq input, rates of oxidation, and rates of Fe(OH)₃ settling suggests exceptionally low O₂ contents, < 0.001% of modern O₂ contents in the photic zone. Such low levels suggest an anoxicogenic pathway is more likely, and the data can be well modeled by anoxicogenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation. Comparison of the Fe isotope data from the ISB BIFs with those from the 2.5 Ga BIFs from the Hamersley and Transvaal basins (Australia and South Africa, respectively) suggests a striking difference in Fe sources and pathways. The 2.5 Ga magnetite facies BIFs of Australia and South Africa have Δ⁵⁶Fe values that range from −1.2‰ to +1.2‰ over small scales, and are on average close to 0‰, which is significantly lower than those reported here from the ISB BIFs. The wide range in Fe isotope compositions for the Hamersley and Transvaal BIFs, in concert with C and O isotope data, have been interpreted to reflect bacterial dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction (DIR). The absence of low Δ⁵⁶Fe values in the Isua BIFs, as well as the lack of fine-scale isotopic heterogeneity, may indicate formation prior to widespread DIR.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The timing and extent of surface oxidation remains one of the outstanding questions in the evolution of the Earth. Although most workers agree that atmospheric O₂ contents were low in the Early Archean (e.g., Holland, 1984; Farquhar et al., 2000), there is increasing evidence for at least some oxygen production prior to the Great Oxidation Event (GOE) at ca. 2.45 Ga based on geochemical and biomarker evidence (e.g., Brockes et al., 1999; Anbar et al., 2007; Kaufman et al., 2007; Eigenbrode et al., 2008; Waldhaeuer et al., 2009; Kendall et al., 2010; Czaja et al., 2012; Stueken et al., 2012). From the 3.7 to 3.8 Ga Isua Supracrustal Belt, which contains some of the oldest known metasediments,
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C isotope compositions have been used to infer evidence for biological carbon fixation (e.g., Schidlowski et al., 1979) and possibly for oxygenic photosynthesis (e.g., Rosing, 1999). This interpretation of oxygenic photosynthesis was later supported by studies of U–Th–Pb isotope patterns that suggested the presence of free oxygen (Rosing and Frei, 2004). It remains unclear, however, what the balance was between oxygen that may have been produced in the Early Archean and its consumption by reduced sinks. Widespread oxygenation of the Earth’s surface as early as 3.5 Ga has been suggested based on the presence of allegedly primary Fe(III) minerals (Hoashi et al., 2009; Kato et al., 2009), suggesting that reduced sinks were limited by this time, although recent work has suggested that these Fe(III) minerals are the result of Phanerozoic oxidation (Li et al., 2012).

Banded iron formations (BIFs) represent periods of large-scale interaction between reduced and oxidized iron reservoirs. It is generally accepted that BIF deposition involves upwelling of Fe(II)-rich hydrothermal fluids into shallow waters, followed by oxidation, although the mechanism of oxidation is unclear and may have varied at different times on Earth (e.g., Konhauser et al., 2002; Trendall, 2002; Kappler et al., 2005; Klein, 2005). Rare earth element (REE) patterns for BIFs, including those at Isua (Dymek and Klein, 1988; Klein, 2005; Polat and Frei, 2005), suggest that reduced sinks were limited by this time, although recent work has suggested that these Fe(III) minerals are the result of Phanerozoic oxidation (Li et al., 2012).

Fig. 1. Location of the Isua Supracrustal Belt in southern West Greenland (A) Simplified geologic map modified from Rollinson (2002, 2003). Domain I is an area of low-strain from which the samples studied here were collected. (B) Geologic map of the low-strain zone indicated by the rectangle in the northwest part of A (modified from Myers, 2001). The black circles indicate the locations where the samples studied here were collected (1 = SM/GR/99/10; SM/GR/99/4, SM/GR/93/42, and ID-21-3; 2 = IS-626-7A; 3 = IS-625-8C; 4 = SM/GR/99/21). The open squares indicate the locations where the samples of Whitehouse and Fedo (2007) were collected (A = IS-02-08; B = IS-02-05; C = IS-02-06). Samples SM/GR/93/42, SM/GR/99/4, SM/GR/99/10, and SM/GR/99/21 were collected by Stephen Moorbath. Samples IS-21-3, IS-625-8C, and IS-626-7A were described by Dymek and Klein (1988).
interpret this to indicate that Fe isotope compositions were not reset by metamorphism. It is now recognized, however, that stable isotope measurements by SIMS may be compromised by significant crystal orientation effects for certain minerals, including magnetite (Huberty et al., 2010; Kita et al., 2011). It is therefore possible that the early SIMS data for magnetite at Isua, at least in part, reflect instrumental artifacts.

Here Fe isotope data from BIFs of the Isua Supracrustal Belt are reported, which were collected at millimeter and micrometer scales, via conventional approaches involving micro-drilling and ion-exchange chromatography, as well as femtosecond laser ablation (fs-LA-ICP-MS), respectively. Importantly, in situ Fe isotope analysis by fs-LA-ICP-MS is not subject to the crystal orientation effects that can be problematic with SIMS analyses, and therefore offers an opportunity to evaluate the proposal of large, fine-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity in the Isua BIFs. These data suggest that Fe cycling in the early Earth was distinct from that involved in younger BIFs, indicating that temporal changes occurred in the Fe biogeochemical cycle over Earth’s history. The data are used here, along with a dispersion/reaction model, to constrain the Fe pathways that occurred during BIF deposition, including an assessment of the relative roles of oxidative drivers and reduced sinks at 3.8 Ga.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Geologic setting

The 3.7–3.8 Ga Isua Supracrustal Belt (ISB) of southern West Greenland is one of the oldest known successions of volcanic and sedimentary rocks (Moorbath et al., 1973; Appel et al., 1998b). The ISB rocks form an arcuate belt approximately 35 km long and up to 2.5 km wide (Fig. 1), the geology of which has been described previously (e.g., Nutman, 1984; Nutman et al., 1984; Nutman and Bridgwater, 1986; Appel et al., 1998b; Fedo et al., 2001; Crowley et al., 2002). The major rock units that comprise the ISB are shown in Fig. 1B, including a major BIF unit found at Isukasia (Appel et al., 1998a). These rocks are interpreted to have...
been deposited in water ≤ 200 m (Fedo et al., 2001), and have been subjected to amphibolite-facies metamorphism (Boak and Dynek, 1982; Rosing et al., 1996; Rollinson, 2002, 2003; Heijl et al., 2006). The eastern portion of the Isua Supracrustal Belt is a region of low strain and as such, metamorphic recrystallization is likely to be minimal there (Fig. 1A). This region includes three tectonic domains: Domain I, Domain II, and the northeast portion of Domain III (Rollinson, 2002, 2003). Domain I is interpreted to be the region of least strain in the belt where primary depositional features are still recognizable (Appel et al., 1988a, 1998b; Fedo et al., 2001; Myers, 2001; Rollinson, 2002, 2003), and is the region from which the samples studied here come (Fig. 1B).

2.2. Samples

The seven specimens of Isua BIFs used in this study (Electronic Annex Fig. EA1) were examined and imaged by secondary electron microscopy (SEM; Hitachi S-3400) and analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) to document areas of pure magnetite, free of significant silicate contamination (e.g., Fig. 2). Major-element compositions for magnetite grains from representative samples were determined by wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy (WDS; CAMECA SX51 electron microprobe). The electron microprobe was operated at 15 keV and 20 nA of Faraday cup current in a fixed spot mode with a focused beam. Natural magnetite was used as a standard. Data were reduced based on the stoichiometry of oxygen for magnetite (4).

The iron isotope compositions of multiple individual magnetite layers from the seven BIF specimens were investigated on two spatial scales. Areas of approximately 2 mm by 2 mm by 0.1 mm deep from all seven specimens were sampled using a Merchatek micro-mill (Figs. 2EA1; Herrick, 2007). Sampling at a much smaller scale was done using femtosecond laser-ablation, over areas of approximately 60 μm by 60 μm by 5 μm deep for five of the seven specimens (Figs. 2, EA1 and EA2). Iron isotope data are reported here in standard delta notation as both δ56Fe and δ57Fe, both in units of permil (‰):

\[ \delta^x\text{Fe} = \left([\text{\%}^{x}\text{Fe}]_{\text{sample}} / [\text{\%}^{x}\text{Fe}]_{\text{std}} - 1\right) \times 10^3 \]  

for which x is either 6 or 7 and the reference ratio is the average of igneous rocks (Beard et al., 2003). The δ56Fe value of the IRMM-014 standard is −0.09‰ on this scale (Beard and Johnson, 2004). All isotope analyses were performed using a Micromass Isotopoe MC-ICP-MS.

Conventional Fe isotope analysis was performed on powders obtained by micro-milling (MM; Herrick, 2007). Samples were dissolved in heated Savillex beakers using concentrated HF and HNO3 for 24 h, dried down, and subsequently re-suspended in 8 M HCl and heated for another 24 h. This process was repeated until the samples were completely dissolved. Iron was then separated for conventional mass analysis using anion-exchange chromatography as described previously (Beard and Johnson, 1999; Beard et al., 2003). Isotopic analysis was done using an A raids desolvating nebulizer, following the methods of Beard et al. (2003) and Albèrède et al. (2004). Analytical precision and accuracy was determined by multiple analyses of samples, including multiple analyses of the same solution, as well as duplicate processing through ion-exchange columns. Multiple analyses of the same solution sample and analyses of multiple aliquots of a single sample yield a 2-s error in δ56Fe values of ± 0.07‰ (n = 66) for the average of replicates for the conventional isotope analyses (Herrick, 2007).

Femtosecond laser ablation (fs-LA) analyses were performed using a Photon Machines Analyte-fs that was operated at either 198 nm or 266 nm, with a pulse width of 200 fs. Samples were ablated with a fluence of ~1 J cm⁻² in a small-volume laminar flow cell that was flushed with He as a carrier gas at a rate of 0.5 L min⁻¹. The carrier gas stream was combined with 0.5 L min⁻¹ Ar and 6–8 mL min⁻¹ N₂ streams in a quartz mixing cell prior to introduction to the MC-ICP-MS. Typically, a volume of ~1.8 x 10⁻³ μm³ was ablated for each isotopic analysis (see Figs. EA1 and EA2). Samples were bracketed with analyses of an in-house magnetite standard (08-BI-12). This standard has a homogeneous δ56Fe value of 0.32 ± 0.06‰ (2-s), as determined by conventional MC-ICP-MS; laser ablation analyses produce an average δ56Fe value of 0.31 ± 0.24‰ (2-s) (Table EA3).

3. Results

The compositions of magnetite studied here as determined by WDS are homogeneous near-pure end member Fe₂O₃ and contain only minor Al₂O₃ substitution of < 0.15 wt% (Electronic Annex Table EA1; Herrick, 2007). All other oxides, including TiO₂, SiO₂, MgO, MnO, CaO, Na₂O, and K₂O, occur in negligible quantities (≤ 0.06 wt%).

The total range of magnetite δ56Fe values (δ56FeMT) for the samples collected by micromill is +0.46‰ to +1.17‰ and the range for the averages of multiple analyses of individual samples is +0.48‰ to +1.11‰ (Table EA2, Figs. 3, EA1 and EA3; Herrick, 2007). It is important to note that these ranges span multiple samples collected kilometers apart and there is no apparent trend in δ56Fe values of the Isua BIFs across the geographic range sampled (compare Figs. 1 and 3). Individual samples are more homogeneous and have a range in δ56Fe values of less than < 0.13‰ within individual bands (Table EA2 and Fig. 3). Three samples have small ranges in δ56FeMT values that lie outside analytical uncertainties. Band 4 of SM/GR/99/4 has δ56Fe values that range from 0.61‰ to 0.79‰ with a 2-s error of 0.14‰ (Table EA2). Band 4 of sample SM/GR/99/21 has δ56Fe values that range from 0.83‰ to 1.09‰ with a 2-s error of 0.17‰ (Table EA2). Band 1 of sample SM/GR/93/42 has somewhat heterogeneous δ56Fe values, ranging from 0.93‰ to 1.17‰ with a 2-s error of 0.13‰ (Table EA2).

The δ56Fe values determined by fs-LA show a similar overall range for all Fe isotope analyses (δ56FeMT = +0.20‰ to +1.22‰), and for averages of multiple analyses within a given area (0.35‰ to 1.17‰; Table EA3, Figs. 3, EA1 and EA3). Because the errors associated with fs-LA analyses are larger than those of the solution-based analyses, almost all samples show no heterogeneity within individual bands beyond analytical error (0.24‰; 2-s, based on multiple analyses of our magnetite isotope standard). Where it was possible to analyze the same spots on the BIF magnetite bands by both conventional MM and by fs-LA, the results were consistent, confirming that our fs-LA yields stoichiometric isotopic sampling (Figs. 3 and EA4; Beard et al., 2012).

The range in δ56Fe values for magnetite reported here for both MM and fs-LA analyses fall within the range of previously reported values for magnetite from southern West Greenland iron formations (Dauphas et al., 2004; Whitehouse and Fedo, 2007; Fig. 3). However, although some isotopic heterogeneity is seen in our data, the range in δ56Fe values is almost an order-of-magnitude less than that reported in the SIMS study of Whitehouse and Fedo (2007).

4. Discussion

The main finding of this study is that the Isua BIFs have positive and relatively homogeneous δ56Fe values as measured at two different spatial scales. Our results significantly expand the observations of Dauphas et al. (2004), which involved a limited number of samples. They also test the proposal that micron-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity exists in the Isua BIFs, as proposed by
production of oxidized Fe that has positive δ^{56}Fe values in the marine setting that likely existed during formation of the Isua rocks. Finally, the implications such compositional differences have for biogeochemical cycling of Fe at various times on the early Earth are discussed.

4.1. Scale of isotopic heterogeneity

The range of δ^{56}Fe\text{Mt} values reported by Whitehouse and Fedo (2007) is significantly larger than that measured in our study (Fig. 3). The maximum range in δ^{56}Fe values for a single magnetite band that were measured for samples collected by MM was 0.21‰ (sample SM/GR/93/42, Table EA2). Multiple fs-LA analyses were performed in many of these same regions and showed a similarly narrow range of δ^{56}Fe values. Although Whitehouse and Fedo (2007) analyzed different samples than those used in our study, they are from the same geographic areas (see Fig. 1B), and their SIMS data spots are broadly similar in size (~18–25 μm diameter for SIMS vs. 60 μm by 60 μm raster areas for fs-LA). The much larger range in δ^{56}Fe\text{Mt} measured by SIMS (~3‰) is not understood, but for both Fe and O isotope analysis by SIMS there can be analytical artifacts of at least 1‰ in δ^{56}Fe\text{Mt} and 2‰ in 18O/16O, sometimes greater, due to differences in the orientation of the magnetite mineral lattice relative to the primary ion beam (Huberty et al., 2010; Kita et al., 2011).

The majority of δ^{56}Fe\text{Mt} values for bulk samples reported by Dauphas et al. (2004) and bulk or in situ analyses from this study fall between +0.7‰ and +1.2‰ (Fig. 3), suggesting that this is the dominant Fe isotope composition for BIF magnetite in southern West Greenland. Moreover, it is argued below that the non-zero δ^{56}Fe values of magnetite in the Isua BIFs, as measured in individual layers, probably reflects Fe isotope compositions attained at low temperatures, prior to regional metamorphism. This interpretation was previously argued by Dauphas et al. (2004) and Whitehouse and Fedo (2007), but it is here expanded to include an assessment of diffusional effects of metamorphism over various spatial scales. The Isua BIFs were subjected to metamorphic temperatures ranging from ~470 to 550 °C in the domain of least strain (Rollinson, 2002, 2003). Iron self-diffusion in magnetite is dependent on temperature and O\textsubscript{2} fugacity (Dieckmann and Schmalzried, 1977; Atkinson et al., 1983), and diffusion coefficients were likely to be greater than 10^{-16} cm² s^{-1} at ~500 °C (Atkinson et al., 1983; Dauphas et al., 2007a). Using the standard equation for diffusion length of \( L = (4 \times D \times t)^{1/2} \) where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient and \( t \) is time, the diffusion length for 1 m.yr. and \( D = 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) is ~1 mm. To diffuse completely over the average length of our MM spots (2 mm) would require ~3 m.yr., and over the average length of our fs-LA spots (~0.06 mm) would require ~3 k.y.; such calculations strongly suggest that the micron-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity observed by Whitehouse and Fedo (2007) is highly unlikely in the Isua rocks, given the long-lived nature of the regional metamorphism.

A metamorphic event that lasted 10 m.yr. would preserve distinct isotopic compositions of magnetite grains over a distance of 3.6 mm. The relative homogeneity of our analyses is therefore not surprising on the scale of a hand sample. Isotopic heterogeneity between magnetite bands would be expected, however, even in the same hand sample, because magnetite bands are separated by quartz bands, which would be an effective barrier to Fe diffusion. In addition, it seems unlikely that large-scale transport of Fe during metamorphism would have occurred, and therefore the average positive δ^{56}Fe\text{Mt} values are probably reflective of the average composition of the BIF samples at low temperatures, prior to regional metamorphism. The scales over which the Fe isotope compositions exhibit homogeneity or
heterogeneity are entirely consistent with the scales of Si isotope homogeneity or heterogeneity from the same Isua metasediments (Heck et al., 2011). In summary, consideration of diffusional rates and spatial scales suggests that any mm- or µm-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity that may have existed during deposition of BIF sediment or during early diagenesis has probably been erased by the effects of regional metamorphism, but the overall average $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{Mt}$ values are likely to be reflective of those of the original BIF sediment.

4.2. Origin of Fe oxides in the Isua BIFs

Magnetite, the principal Fe phase in the Isua BIFs, is not formed directly during oxidation of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and a common formation pathway involves reaction of poorly crystalline ferric hydroxides with an Fe(II) component (e.g., Klein, 2005). The high metamorphic grade of the Isua rocks raises the possibility that siderite was a primary precipitate, because metamorphic breakdown of siderite will produce magnetite (e.g., Gallagher et al., 1981). Quantitative breakdown of siderite, however, cannot explain the positive $\delta^{56}$Fe value, because primary siderite should have negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values (Wiesli et al., 2004). Instead, a model where magnetite at Isua originally formed in a low-temperature marine environment through reaction of poorly crystalline Fe(OH)$_3$ and Fe(II)$_{aq}$ is preferred here. A number of studies have argued that settling of ferric oxide/hydroxide minerals into an Fe(II)$_{aq}$-rich deep ocean layer can result in surface reactions that convert Fe(OH)$_3$ to magnetite (Tronc et al., 1992; Kappler et al., 2005; Klein, 2005). In addition to abiological formation of magnetite through reaction of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and Fe(OH)$_3$ (Tronc et al., 1992), magnetite may form through bacterial reduction of Fe(OH)$_3$ (Lovley et al., 1987), and a number of workers have proposed such an origin for magnetite in BIFs (e.g., Kappler et al., 2005; Klein, 2005).

Our conceptual model is that the $\delta^{56}$Fe value of magnetite will be controlled by the Fe isotope composition of aqueous Fe(II), the original Fe(OH)$_3$, and the amount of isotopic exchange that takes place between them in the soft sediment, prior to lithification. Two end-member cases can be defined: (1) complete isotopic equilibrium, where the $\delta^{56}$Fe value of magnetite reflects the equilibrium fractionation between magnetite and Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and the absolute $\delta^{56}$Fe value assumed for Fe(II)$_{aq}$; and (2) direct synthesis of magnetite through reaction of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and Fe(OH)$_3$, but no subsequent isotopic exchange between magnetite and Fe(II)$_{aq}$. In the latter case, the Fe isotope composition of magnetite will be controlled by the mixing relation:

$$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{Mt} = 2/3 \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{Fe(OH)3} + 1/3 \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{Fe(aq)}$$ (2)

Fig. 4 shows the possible curves of $\delta^{56}$Fe values for magnetite and Fe(II)$_{aq}$ for these two end-member cases, assuming $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe(OH)$_3$ of 0%, $+1\%$, and $+2\%$ (cf. Fig. 8 of Johnson et al., 2008a). For an isotopic equilibrium model, an equilibrium magnetite–Fe(II)$_{aq}$ fractionation is $\Delta^{56}$Fe$=0.3\%$ and production of the measured magnetite isotope compositions would require that Fe(II)$_{aq}$ had high $\delta^{56}$Fe values between $+0.1$ and $+0.8\%$ (Fig. 4, lower dashed gray line). Such low (equilibration in the water column) or high (metamorphic equilibration) $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe(II)$_{aq}$ would require that the Fe is not from hydrothermal sources, which is inconsistent with RRE data measured on Isua BIF (Dymek and Klein, 1988; Klein, 2005). The unusual Fe isotope compositions required for Fe(II)$_{aq}$ in the equilibrium model suggests this is not a viable pathway for the Isua magnetite.

The second possibility is that isotopic equilibration occurred by interaction of magnetite with an Fe(II)$_{aq}$-rich fluid during metamorphism at ~500°C. At this temperature, the equilibrium magnetite–Fe(II)$_{aq}$ fractionation is $\Delta^{56}$Fe$=0.3\%$ and production of the measured magnetite isotope compositions would require that Fe(II)$_{aq}$ had high $\delta^{56}$Fe values between $+0.1$ and $+0.8\%$ (Fig. 4, solid black line). Such low (equilibration in the water column) or high (metamorphic equilibration) $\delta^{56}$Fe values for Fe(II)$_{aq}$ would require that the Fe is not from hydrothermal sources, which is inconsistent with RRE data measured on Isua BIF (Dymek and Klein, 1988; Klein, 2005). The unusual Fe isotope compositions required for Fe(II)$_{aq}$ in the equilibrium model suggests this is not a viable pathway for the Isua magnetite.

The inadequacy of an equilibrium model suggests that the second case is more likely, where synthesis of magnetite occurred through reaction of Fe(II)$_{aq}$ and Fe(OH)$_3$ under conditions where isotopic equilibrium was inhibited. Using Eq. (2), a range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values between $+0.6\%$ and $+1.65\%$ for the primary Fe(OH)$_3$ precipitate can explain the measured $\delta^{56}$Fe values for magnetite, assuming Fe(II)$_{aq}$ had a $\delta^{56}$Fe value of 0% (Fig. 4, solid black lines). This model can be explored in more detail to understand the oxidation extent that is needed to produce the primary Fe(OH)$_3$ precipitate using a one-dimensional dispersion/reaction model, modified after that of Czaja et al. (2012). As discussed by Czaja et al. (2012), simple approaches for modeling Fe oxidation, such as a Rayleigh model, are not appropriate for the marine environments where BIF deposition occurred, which require accounting for simultaneous input of Fe(II) and removal of Fe(III) oxide precipitates.

Two versions of the dispersion/reaction model were developed, both of which assumed a flux of Fe from the deep ocean, and isotopic fractionation during Fe(II) oxidation: (1) oxidation of
Fe(II)aq by ambient O₂ produced by oxygenic photosynthesis (version 1); and (2) oxidation of Fe(II)aq under anoxic conditions by anoxygenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation (version 2). For simplicity, the same Fe isotope fractionation factors for both oxic and anoxic versions of the model are used. The most recent experimental determination of the fractionation factor between Fe(II)aq and Fe(oxy)hydroxides in a simulated Archean marine solution (including silica saturation) yielded a maximum $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{Fe(OH)₃-Fe(II)aq}}$ of 4%, although the fractionation factor can be as low as 2.5%, depending upon Fe/Si ratios (Wu et al., 2011, 2012). To date, anoxygenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation has been shown to produce an Fe(OH)₃–Fe(II)aq fractionation of ~1.5% (Croal et al., 2004). It is important to note, however, that these experiments were not performed in solutions similar in composition to the Archean ocean, namely at silica saturation, and recent work has shown that in general the Fe(OH)₃–Fe(II)aq fractionation increases in the presence of silica (Wu et al., 2011, 2012). Kappler et al. (2010) measured a Fe(OH)₃–Fe(II)aq fractionation of ~3% during microbial oxidation of Fe(II)aq coupled to nitrate reduction and argued that the higher fractionation factor relative to that of Croal et al. (2004) reflects...
attainment of isotopic equilibrium due to oxidation in the periplasm. For version 1 of the dispersion/reaction model, oxidation by ambient O2, the model is essentially the same as that of Czaja et al. (2012). One difference is that a pH of 7.5 was used in the present study because the Archean atmosphere had higher CO2 contents, and thus a lower pH (<7.5) than present seawater (Walker, 1983; Oohoto et al., 2004). Use of a pH of 7 would not significantly alter our conclusions. For version 2 of the dispersion/reaction model, the model of Czaja et al. (2012) was modified to exclude O2 production, replaced by a rate equation for anoxygenic phototrophic Fe(II) oxidizers (Kappler et al., 2005; Crowe et al., 2008; Hegler et al., 2008). Both models were run with a basin depth of 200 m and a photic zone depth of 100 m.

Representative depth profiles for a hypothetical 200 m deep basin modeled under oxic conditions (version 1) are shown in Fig. 5A. These profiles show the steady-state conditions for each of the model outputs at the end of the model run. The initial rate of net O2 production (or O2 release) used is 2% of the modern rate of total O2 production. The modern rate of total O2 production is based on the rate of modern primary productivity, so the use of 2% of this rate at the rate of O2 release accounts for loss of O2 by remineralization of organic matter and reaction with other reduced species (Czaja et al., 2012). Under these conditions, Fe(II)aq is oxidized well below the base of the photic zone, which leads to complete oxidation of upwelling Fe(II)aq, as well as accumulation of significant O2 in the photic zone (~20 µM on average; Fig. 5A). A decrease in the rate of oxygen release over an order of magnitude is required to produce incomplete Fe(II)aq oxidation, which in turn results in a major reduction in the amount of Fe(OH)3 produced (Fig. 5A). From these profiles, the weighted average δ56Fe values for Fe(OH)3 precipitates and average O2 concentrations in the photic zone can be calculated. It is necessary to decrease the rate of O2 release by more than an order of magnitude from our initial value of 2% of the modern rate in order to produce Fe(OH)3 precipitates that have an average δ56Fe value of 1.19‰ (the calculated average δ56Fe value of the primary precipitate based on Eq. (3), above in this section; see also Fig. 6 and caption). Doing so reduces the resulting concentration of O2 in the photic zone from ~20 µM to ~1–2 mM (or <0.001% of the modern concentration of O2; Fig. 6A). The oxic model therefore suggests that if oxygenic photosynthesis was responsible for Fe(II) oxidation at 3.8 Ga in the Isua basin, then the phototrophs were either much less efficient than modern analogs in producing O2 or the reducing capacity of the ancient Earth was sufficient to keep O2 contents as very low levels. Without independent evidence for the metabolic rates of the organisms that were present, or nutrient availability in the Isua basin, the first possibility is difficult to assess. Oxyfgenic photosynthesis, however, is a very energetically favorable reaction, which suggests that organisms capable of performing this metabolism would have proliferated rapidly after they evolved. This leaves the possibility that all of the O2 produced was scrubbed from the atmosphere/hydrosphere by reduced species. The Early Archean Earth did have a large reducing capacity that could have kept O2 low, if a source of O2 existed, but it seems unreasonable that it would take more than 1 billion years for O2 to appreciably build up in the atmosphere. If this were the case, large quantities of oxidized Fe and other redox sensitive elements should have been deposited throughout the Archean, but such deposits (e.g., Superior-type BIFs) do not appear until much later in the Archean and into the Proterozoic (Klein, 2005; and Section 4.3).

Another possibility is that anoxygenic phototrophs were the mechanism responsible for oxidation of Fe(II). Fig. 5B shows steady-state depth profiles for a 200 m deep basin modeled under anoxic conditions. Using a rate constant for anoxygenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation comparable to that of experimental studies of anoxygenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation, as well as calculated from modern analog environments (0.25 day⁻¹; Kappler et al., 2005; Crowe et al., 2008; Hegler et al., 2008), complete Fe(II)aq oxidation occurs, but if this rate is decreased by
a few orders of magnitude, incomplete oxidation occurs and the amount of Fe(OH)₃ produced is decreased (Fig. 5B). Calculation of the weighted-average δ⁵⁶Fe value of the Fe(OH)₃ produced indicates that the rate of oxidation must be decreased by more than two orders of magnitude to attain a value similar to the average δ⁵⁶Fe value of the Isua BIF oxide precursor (Fig. 6B). This is reasonable given that the experiments designed to determine the rate of Fe(II) oxidation (Kappler et al., 2005; Hegler et al., 2008) were performed under ideal conditions of light, pH, temperature and nutrients in batch reactors, but in a marine setting, such conditions do not persist. So whereas the maximum rate attained in the Isua basin could have been comparable to that of the experiments, diurnal cycles of light and dark, lower solar luminosity, as well as seasonal cycles of productivity and nutrient availability would have markedly decreased the average rate. Additionally, there is much variability in the rates of Fe oxidation between the various taxa of modern anoxic photrophs (Kappler et al., 2005; Hegler et al., 2008), and ancient anoxicogenic photrophs were likely no less variable. Based on our modeling, as well as independent S isotope evidence for a lack of significant O₂ in the Early Archean environment (Holland, 1984; Farquhar et al., 2000; Mojzsis et al., 2003; Whitehouse et al., 2005), we suggest that anoxicogenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation rather than oxygenc photosynthesis was responsible for production of Isua BIF oxides at 3.8 Ga.

4.3. Comparison to younger BIFs and implications for the Early Archean Fe cycle

Although BIFs have figured prominently in discussions on the Fe cycle, the size of the Fe inventories of Archean BIFs varied by many orders of magnitude. The Isua BIFs are relatively small, with an Fe footprint of 4 x 10¹¹ mol km⁻², which is a fraction of the size of the large 2.5 Ga BIFs of the Hamersley and Transvaal basins, which have Fe footprints of 2 x 10¹³ mol km⁻² each (Table 1). Although it is difficult to estimate Fe deposition rates for these BIFs, if hydrothermal Fe fluxes scale with global heat flow, Fe fluxes during deposition of the Isua BIFs may have been a factor of two higher than those during deposition of the 2.5 Ga BIFs (Jackson and Pollack, 1984). That the Fe footprint of the Isua BIFs may be a two-orders-of-magnitude less than the 2.5 Ga BIFs therefore suggests that the amount of hydrothermal Fe(II) that was oxidized at 3.8 Ga was but a small fraction of that oxidized at 2.5 Ga. Comparison of magnetite Fe isotope data from the 3.8 Ga Isua BIFs with the 2.5 Ga Hamersley and Transvaal BIFs (Fig. 7) supports a model that there was a fundamental difference between the Fe cycles that produced the BIFs in these time periods. The peak in δ⁵⁶FeMt values for the Hamersley and Transvaal basin BIFs is centered just below 0%, distinctly lower than the distribution of δ⁵⁶Fe values of the Isua BIF. Johnson et al. (2008a) interpret the peak at δ⁵⁶Fe = −0‰ for the 2.5 Ga BIFs to reflect the inheritance of the δ⁵⁶Fe values of Fe(OH)₃ that was produced by complete oxidation of Fe(II)aq, whereas Isua δ⁵⁶Fe values greater than zero are thought to reflect partial oxidation. Data for Isua BIFs are from the present study and those for the Neoarchean/Paleoproterozoic Hamersley and Transvaal BIFs are from Johnson et al. (2003, 2008a), Rouxel et al. (2005) and Steinhoefel et al. (2010).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age (Ga)</th>
<th>δ⁵⁶FeMt</th>
<th>Fe¹</th>
<th>Fe conc.²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(mol)</td>
<td>(mol m⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isua</td>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.35-1.13</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamersley</td>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–0.12</td>
<td>–1.19-1.19</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transvaal</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
<td>–0.95-1.06</td>
<td>2 x 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

δ⁵⁶Fe values of the Isua BIF oxide precursor (Fig. 6B). This δ⁵⁶Fe value that is positive (−0.2‰) and a much wider range (±1.2‰). Table 1. The peak in δ⁵⁶FeMt values for the Hamersley and Transvaal BIFs centered close to 0‰ (Johnson et al., 2003, 2008a; Rouxel et al., 2005; Steinhoefel et al., 2010) has been interpreted to reflect inheritance of δ⁵⁶Fe values of Fe(OH)₃ that was produced by complete oxidation of Fe(II)aq (Johnson et al., 2008b). Because δ⁵⁶Fe values do not scale linearly with O₂ contents or oxidation rate (Fig. 6), the moderately positive δ⁵⁶Fe values inferred for Isua Fe(III) oxide precipitates indicates very low ambient O₂ contents and small extents of oxidation.

An important finding of the current study is demonstration of little Fe isotope heterogeneity in the Isua BIFs, which stands in contrast to the relatively large range in δ⁵⁶Fe values for the 2.5 Ga BIFs (Fig. 7). Although the high-grade nature of metamorphism of the Isua BIFs probably homogenized any initial Fe isotope variability at the micron scale, metamorphism is unlikely to have homogenized Fe isotope compositions across magnetite layers, as discussed in Section 4.1. For the 2.5 Ga BIFs, Fe isotope variability exists between individual bands at the millimeter and larger scale.
(Johnson et al., 2003, 2008a), a feature not observed in the Isua BIFs. Isotopic variability in the 2.5 Ga BIFs has been interpreted to reflect several processes. The $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{Mt}}$ values that are greater than zero likely reflect partial oxidation, whereas those that are less than zero probably reflect interaction with low-$\delta^{56}$Fe Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ that was generated by dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction (DIR; Johnson et al., 2008b). Because negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values are not found in the sedimentary record prior to around 2.9 Ga, Johnson et al. (2008b) suggested that DIR did not have a large impact on the marine sedimentary record before that time. Craddock and Dauphas (2011), however, argued based on coupled $\delta^{56}$Fe and $\delta^{13}$C values in metacarbonates from Isua that DIR was present at 3.8 Ga. We hypothesize that although DIR likely existed at the time of deposition of the Isua BIFs, the quantities of Fe(III) produced by anoxicogenic photosynthetic iron oxidation were insufficient to support widespread DIR until oxygenic photosynthesis became common (Johnson et al., 2008b), probably starting sometime between about 2.7 and 2.5 Ga.

5. Conclusions

New Fe isotope data from the 3.7 to 3.8 Ga Isua Supracrustal Belt, obtained on mm to $\mu$m scales, demonstrate little isotopic variability, in contrast to previous studies that determined an exceptionally wide range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values at the $\mu$m scale. $\delta^{56}$Fe values at the hand-sample scale are generally homogeneous within uncertainties, although across the sample suite, $\delta^{56}$Fe values fall in between $+0.4\permil$ and $+1.1\permil$. The lack of fine-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity can be explained by metamorphic re-equilibration within individual bands on the mm to $\mu$m scale, but metamorphism cannot explain the Fe isotope homogeneity between bands in the same hand sample. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values that are characteristic of individual hand samples are therefore interpreted to reflect “primary” or “low-temperature” values despite metamorphism.

The positive $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{Fe(II)aq}}$ values for the Isua BIFs are indicative of partial oxidation of the Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ to Fe(OH)$_3$, a precursor to magnetite formation. Partial oxidation and formation of Fe(OH)$_3$ can be a result of atmospheric O$_2$, or anoxicogenic photosynthesis. Oxidation of Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ was modeled by a dispersion/reaction model, which accounts for rates of hydrothermal Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ input, rates of oxidation, and rates of Fe(OH)$_3$ settling. To explain the positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values inferred for the Fe(OH)$_3$ precursor via oxidation of Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ by anoxic pathway requires exceptionally low O$_2$ contents, <0.001% of the modern O$_2$ contents in the photic zone. In contrast, the positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values can be well explained by anoxicogenic photosynthetic Fe(II) oxidation using reasonable rates of oxidation. This, in combination with the very low O$_2$ contents of theoxic pathway model, as well as other geochemical arguments for low atmospheric O$_2$ levels in the Early Archean (e.g., Holland, 1984), suggests that oxidation of Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ most likely occurred by anoxicogenic photosynthesis. This in turn supports proposals that anoxicogenic photosynthesis evolved prior to oxygenic photosynthesis (e.g., Widdel et al., 1993).

Comparison of Fe isotope data for the 3.8 Ga Isua BIFs and the 2.5 Ga Hamersley Basin and Transvaal Craton BIFs suggests a fundamental difference in the Fe cycles for these time periods. The Hamersley and Transvaal BIFs commonly have large (several per mill) fine-scale Fe isotope heterogeneity on scales ranging from a few millimeters to centimeters. In addition, the fact that the average $\delta^{56}$Fe values of the 2.5 Ga BIFs are near zero, essentially matching that estimated for hydrothermal fluids in the Archean and Proterozoic, indicates that generally complete oxidation of Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ occurred. The low-$\delta^{56}$Fe$_{\text{Mt}}$ values suggest interaction with low-$\delta^{56}$Fe Fe(I)$_{\text{aq}}$ that was provided by dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction, and the fine-scale isotopic heterogeneity is exactly that predicted by microbial Fe(III) reduction in the soft sediment prior to lithification (Tangalo et al., 2010). In addition, strong support for a major role by microbial Fe(III) reduction in the 2.5 Ga BIFs comes from C and O isotope data (Heimann et al., 2010; Craddock and Dauphas, 2011). There is no clear evidence, however, for microbial Fe(III) reduction in the Isua BIFs based on Fe isotope data on magnetite, as indicated by the lack of negative $\delta^{56}$Fe values and lack of isotopic heterogeneity. This is consistent with the relatively small footprint of the Isua BIFs and positive $\delta^{56}$Fe values, both of which suggest limited production of Fe(III) oxides as compared to the 2.5 Ga BIFs. We suggest that if our proposal is correct that anaerobic photosynthesis was the most likely pathway for formation of Fe(III) oxide precursors in the Isua BIFs, this pathway produced insufficient Fe(III) oxides and organic carbon to support extensive microbial Fe(III) reduction. Microbial Fe(III) reduction may have only become widespread in marine environments after oxygenic photosynthesis became common, which was able to produce large quantities of Fe(III) and organic carbon.
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